United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,260	(07/22/2003	Foot Shen Wong	02-0146	02-0146 7340	
41066	7590	06/26/2006		EXAMINER		
		SITO & HAO, LLF	PARRIES, DRU M			
	TWO NORTH MARKET STREET, THIRD FLOOR SAN JOSE, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2836		
				DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/624,260	WONG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	· 	Dru M. Parries	2836				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is used in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Mr.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers		•				
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 July 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the other cases.	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/624,260

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed May 16, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the added limitation to claims 1, 4, 7, and 11, the Examiner believes that Alfrey teaches that limitation. See the rejection below.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: the Examiner believes the Applicant made a mistake on the amendment, and meant to strikethrough the word "first", and not underline it. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carobolante (6,084,378) and Alfrey (2003/0103364). Carobolante teaches four power switches forming an H-bridge circuit selectively coupled to supply current to a load. He also teaches a plurality of power switch driving circuits (not shown) to control the conduction state of the power switches to selectively couple at least two power switches to a PWM signal. Carobolante teaches two modes of operation: a linear mode for periods of low current consumption and a

Application/Control Number: 10/624,260

Art Unit: 2836

PWM mode for periods of higher current consumption. He also teaches the idea of adding additional circuitry to drive the load with linear current. (Col. 1, lines 30-31; Col. 2, lines 17-23, 29-31; Col. 3, lines 40-46; Col. 14, lines 18-20, 22-29; Fig. 1). Carobolante fails to teach two current sources, the load being a thermal electrical cooler, and what the direction of current through the load defines. Alfrey teaches a linear H-Bridge circuit with for supplying current to a load, such as a thermoelectric cooler. He also teaches the direction of current through the load to define a cooling or heating mode. He also teaches the circuit comprising two current sources (Fig. 7, 7A; 17 & 19) with current source switches (601), wherein one source is coupled to the load during a first period and the other is coupled during a second period via current source switches ([0005] & [0044]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement a thermoelectric cooler as the load and define the direction of current as either a cooling or heating mode because some applications of an H-Bridge circuit are used specifically for thermoelectric coolers and the heating and cooling modes are necessary for the cooler to function properly (inherent). It also would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement two current sources in the circuit to

Page 3

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carobolante (6,084,378) and Alfrey (2003/0103364) as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Walter (2003/0155813). Carobolante and Alfrey teach an H-Bridge circuit as described above. The two references fail to teach a filter circuit coupled between some switches and the load. Walter teaches a filter circuit (34, 36) coupled between two of four switches and the load (Fig. 1;

control the magnitude of the current being supplied to the load.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,260

Art Unit: 2836

[0034]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate a filter circuit for attenuating harmonic distortion in the output voltage.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dru M. Parries whose telephone number is (571) 272-8542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8:00am to 5:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMP

6-12-2006

ROBERT L. DEBERADINIS